Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/845,938	KABANOV ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
·	Q. Janice Li, M.D.	1633
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Q. Janice Li PTO.</u> .	(3)	
(2) Christopher S. Casieri, Appl. Rep.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 March 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: § 112, 2 nd paragraph.		
Claims discussed: 29, 32, 34		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.	•	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
	•	
Q. JANICE LI, M.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER		
Dy C	•	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The claims recite trademark need to be deleted. The examiner suggested to simply delete the trademark term "pluronic" since the contents of F127 and L61 have been described in the specification, such as the table in page 30 of the specification. Mr. Casieri authorized the change by an examiner's amendment..